arXiv:1406.1851v1 [math.QA] 7 Jun 2014

KAUFFMAN POLYNOMIAL FROM A GENERALIZED YANG-YANG FUNCTION

SEN HU AND PENG LIU

Abstract. For the fundamental representations of the simple Lie algebras of type B_n, C_n and D_n, we derive the braiding and fusion matrices from the generalized Yang-Yang function and prove that the corresponding knot invariants are Kauffman polynomial.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. Braiding formula from Lefschetz thimbles of the generalized Yang-Yang function 2
3. Quantum mechanics and knot invariants 7
4. Braiding and fusion matrices for simple Lie algebras B_n, C_n and D_n 9
4.1. Fundamental representation of B_n 9
4.2. Fundamental representation of C_n 29
4.3. Fundamental representation of D_n 33
5. Knot invariants for B_n, C_n and D_n 39
Acknowledgements 41
References 41

1. Introduction

After E.Witten's work [3] on Jones polynomial from quantum field theory, knots theory has inspired lots of interest of physicists and mathematicians. Recently D.Gaiotto and E.Witten have developed a new method to derive knot invariants from gauge theory. The free field realization of Virasoro conformal blocks was used to convert the

integral of Chern-Simons functional over the infinite dimensional moduli space of connections into the integral of a Yang-Yang function over a finite dimensional parameter space. What's more important, Lefschetz thimbles naturally give a representation of the braiding operation and therefore provide a powerful tool to study the wall-crossing phenomena. In [10], we used the generalized Yang-Yang function to study the braiding matrix and knot invariants for A_n Lie algebras. In this paper, we use quantum mechanics to describe this method, derive the braiding and fusion matrices for the simple Lie algebras of type B_n, C_n and D_n and prove that the corresponding knot invariants are Kauffman polynomial.

In section 2, we briefly review getting braiding formula from Lefschetz thimbles of the generalized Yang-Yang functions for both cases of symmetry breaking and without symmetry breaking. This part of results was developed in the previous paper [10].

In section 3, we define the braiding and fusion operators in quantum mechanics language. Then, for the simple Lie algebras of type B_n, C_n and D_n, we derive the braiding and fusion matrices and several relations between them from thimbles of generalized Yang-Yang functions in section 3.

In section 4, we prove that the corresponding knot invariants are Kauffman polynomial.

2. Braiding formula from Lefschetz thimbles of the generalized Yang-Yang function

The real part of a holomorphic function on a Hermitian manifold, as a Morse function, has some nice properties.

Lemma 2.1. *For a holomorphic function on a Hermitian manifold M $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} M = 2d$, if its real part h is a Morse function on M (i.e. the Hessian matrix of h is non-degenerate and its critical points are isolated), then* (1) *the gradient flow of h keeps the imaginary part invariant;* (2) *the index of each critical point of h is d.*

From this lemma, Lefschetz thimbles are naturally defined:



FIGURE 1. Wall-crossing

Definition 2.2. Assuming that h defined as in the last lemma is a Morse function on M, a cycle is called a Lefschetz thimble associated to I, denoted by $\mathcal{J}$, if all points of it can be reached by the gradient flow of h starting from a critical point I.

Lefschetz thimbles are middle dimensional cycles of M. Here is an example:

Example 2.3. $f(x) = i\lambda(\frac{x^3}{3} - x), x \in \mathbb{C}$ is called Airy function [7], where $\lambda = a + bi$ is a complex constant with $b > 0$. The critical points of the holomorphic function $f(x)$ are $x = \pm 1$, denoted by $P_\pm = \pm 1$. $\mathrm{Im} f(P_+) = -\frac{2}{3}a$ and $\mathrm{Im} f(P_-) = \frac{2}{3}a$. $\mathrm{Im} f(P_+) = \mathrm{Im} f(P_-)$ if and only if $a = 0$. Thus, from Lemma 2.1, there is a gradient flow defined by Morse function $Re f(x)$ connecting P_+ with P_- if and only if $a = 0$. When $a = 0$, $f(x) = -b(\frac{x^3}{3} - x)$. The gradient flow connecting P_+ with P_- is on the real axis of x plane and the imaginary part of f is zero along this flow. When $a \neq 0$, there is no gradient flow connecting P_+ with P_-. As is shown in Figure 1, the picture (a), (b) and (c) describe the gradient flows starting from P_+ and P_- with $a = 1$, $a = 0$ and $a = -1$ respectively.

If a is continuously changed from 1 to -1 on the λ plane with $b > 0$, the thimble $\mathcal{J}_+$ associated to P_+ and the thimble $\mathcal{J}_-$ associated to P_- will be transformed into $\mathcal{J}'_+$ and $\mathcal{J}'_-$:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{J}'_+ \\ \mathcal{J}'_- \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \pm 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{J}_+ \\ \mathcal{J}_- \end{pmatrix}. \tag{1}$$

$\mathcal{J}_-$ is unchanged, but $\mathcal{J}_+$ will receive an additional term. This phenomena also appears when we change a from 1 to -1 continuously with $b < 0$. When $a = 0$, two rays $b > 0$ and $b < 0$ on the λ plane are called Stokes rays (Stokes walls). Passing through a Stokes ray is called wall-crossing. When wall-crossing happens, the thimble will receive an additional term.

Considering distinct points $z_1, ..., z_d$ on $\mathbb{C}$, we associate each point with an irreducible highest weight representation V_{λ_a} of $\mathfrak{g}$, where λ_a is a dominant integral weight. Thus V_{λ_a} is a finite dimensional irreducible highest weight representation of $\mathfrak{g}$. $V_{(\lambda_a)} \triangleq V_{\lambda_1} \otimes V_{\lambda_2} \otimes ... \otimes V_{\lambda_d}$. $\Pi = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, ..., \alpha_n\}$ is the set of the simple roots of $\mathfrak{g}$. $w_j (j = 1, 2, ..., \mathfrak{q})$ are distinct points on $\mathbb{C}$ different from z_a. Each w_j is associated with a simple root α_{i_j} of $\mathfrak{g}$, where $i_j \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}$.

$$(2)\quad \mathscr{W}(w, z) = \sum_{j,a} (\alpha_{i_j}, \lambda_a) \ln(w_j - z_a) - \sum_{j<s} (\alpha_{i_j}, \alpha_{i_s}) \ln(w_j - w_s) - \sum_{a<b} (\lambda_a, \lambda_b) \ln(z_a - z_b)$$

is the generalized Yang-Yang function (see [5], [6] and [8] for more details) associated to the representation V_{λ_a} of $\mathfrak{g}$.

We consider the integration $\int_{\mathcal{J}_\mathfrak{q}} e^{-\frac{\mathscr{W}}{k+h^\vee}} \prod_j dw_j$, where $\mathcal{J}_\mathfrak{q}$ is the thimble of the generalized Yang-Yang function with $\mathfrak{q}$ variables $w_1, w_2, ..., w_\mathfrak{q}$. It is a sub-manifold with $\dim_\mathbb{R} \mathcal{J}_\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{q}$ in $\mathbb{C}^\mathfrak{q}$. Here we only consider the case $d = 2$ and $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$. Without losing generality, we assume that z_1 and z_2 have the same real part and $\mathrm{Im} z_1 > \mathrm{Im} z_2$. Then we rotate z_1 and z_2 clockwise by π around the middle point of them. The multiple valued Yang-Yang function will produce an phase factor under this braiding transformation. It can be easily obtained by the following lemma.

Lemma 2.4. *$f(w_j, z_1, z_2)$ is a holomorphic function of w_j with two complex parameters z_1 and z_2. If Γ is a thimble associated to the real part of $f(w_j, z_1, z_2)$, then the phase factor of the integral $\int_\Gamma e^{f(w_j, z_1, z_2)} \prod_j dw_j$ coming from the braiding without wall-crossing is equal to the phase factor of $e^{f(w_c, z_1, z_2)}$ under the braiding, where w_c is the the critical point of $f(w_j, z_1, z_2)$.*

From the Lemma 2.4, we have

$$\mathcal{B}\mathcal{J}_{\mathbb{q}} = (-1)^{\mathbb{q}} q^{-\frac{1}{2}[(\lambda_1,\lambda_2)+\sum_{j<s}(\alpha_{i_j},\alpha_{i_s})-\sum_{j,a}(\alpha_{i_j},\lambda_a)]}\mathcal{J}_{\mathbb{q}}. \tag{3}$$

The $(-1)^{\mathbb{q}}$ comes from the fact that the braiding changes the direction of each dimension into the opposite direction and the thimble $\mathcal{J}_{\mathbb{q}}$ is $\mathbb{q}$ dimensional. We formally define $\mathcal{J}_0$ to record the phase factor of $e^{-\frac{\mathscr{W}(z_1,z_2)}{k+h^\vee}}$:

$$\mathcal{B}\mathcal{J}_0 = q^{-\frac{1}{2}(\lambda_1,\lambda_2)}\mathcal{J}_0. \tag{4}$$

With a positive real parameter c,

(5)

$$\mathscr{W}(w_j, z_1, z_2, c) = \sum_j(\alpha_{i_j}, \lambda_1)\ln(w_j - z_1) + \sum_j(\alpha_{i_j}, \lambda_2)\ln(w_j - z_2) - \sum_{j<s}(\alpha_{i_j}, \alpha_{i_s})\ln(w_j - w_s)$$
$$- (\lambda_1, \lambda_2)\ln(z_1 - z_2) - c\left(\sum_j w_j - \frac{1}{2}\sum_a \parallel \lambda_a \parallel z_a\right)$$

is called a generalized Yang-Yang function with symmetry breaking. When $c \to 0$, it goes back to the generalized Yang-Yang function, i.e. $\lim_{c\to 0}\mathscr{W}(w_j, z_1, z_2, c) = \mathscr{W}(w_j, z_1, z_2)$. When $c \to \infty$, the critical point equation or Bethe equation

$$\frac{\partial\mathscr{W}(w_j, z_1, z_2, c)}{\partial w_j} = 0, \quad j = 1, 2, ..., \mathbb{q} \tag{6}$$

has solutions:

$$w_j = z_1 + o(\frac{1}{c}) \text{ or } z_2 + o(\frac{1}{c}).$$

Let

$$S_1 = \{j | w_j = z_1 + o(\frac{1}{c})\} \tag{7}$$

and

$$S_2 = \{j | w_j = z_2 + o(\frac{1}{c})\}, \tag{8}$$

then

$$\#S_1 + \#S_2 = \mathbb{q}.$$

Consider special solutions satisfying the following two conditions:

(1) $\#S_1 \le m$, $\#S_2 \le m$, where $m = \dim V_\lambda - 1$;

(2) $\lambda - \sum_{j\in S_1}\alpha_{i_j}$ and $\lambda - \sum_{j\in S_2}\alpha_{i_j}$ are weights of the representation V_λ.

Denote the thimble associated to the critical point of this type as $\mathcal{J}_{s,\mathfrak{q}-s}$, where $s = \#S_1$. We can formally define $\mathcal{J}_{0,0} = \mathcal{J}_0$ as in (4), then $0 \le \mathfrak{q} \le 2m$. Now combining all thimbles together with respect to $\mathfrak{q}$ from 0 to $2m$, we have totally $(m+1)^2$ different thimbles. These $(m+1)^2$ thimbles associated to special solutions of the Bethe equation in the symmetry breaking case naturally form a set of basis of the representation space $V_{\lambda_1}\otimes V_{\lambda_2}$. Each thimble $\mathcal{J}_{s,\mathfrak{q}-s}$ corresponds to a weight vector with weight $\lambda_1 - \sum_{j\in S_1}\alpha_{i_j}, \lambda_2 - \sum_{j\in S_2}\alpha_{i_j}$ in the representation space $V_{\lambda_1}\otimes V_{\lambda_2}$.

$$V_{\lambda_1}\otimes V_{\lambda_2} = \oplus_{\mathfrak{q}=0}^{2m} V_{\mathfrak{q}},$$

where $V_{\mathfrak{q}}$ is a linear space generated by $\{v_s\otimes v_{\mathfrak{q}-s}, 0\le s\le \mathfrak{q} \mid v_s\otimes v_{\mathfrak{q}-s}\in V_{\lambda_1}\otimes V_{\lambda_2}, v_s\otimes v_{\mathfrak{q}-s}$ is a vector with weight $(\lambda - \sum_{j\in S_1}\alpha_{i_j}, \lambda - \sum_{j\in S_2}\alpha_{i_j})\} \subseteq V_{\lambda_1}\otimes V_{\lambda_2}$. Clearly, the braiding does not change the dimension of the thimble. Therefore, $V_{\mathfrak{q}}$ is an invariant subspace of the braiding transformation.

The braiding of the thimble of the generalized Yang-Yang function with symmetry breaking is

$$\mathcal{B}\mathcal{J}_{s,\mathfrak{q}-s} = q^{-\frac{1}{2}(\lambda_1 - \sum_{1\le j\le s}\alpha_{i_j}, \lambda_2 - \sum_{s+1\le j\le \mathfrak{q}}\alpha_{i_j})}\mathcal{J}_{\mathfrak{q}-s,s} + w.c.t., \tag{9}$$

where w.c.t represents unknown wall-crossing terms. See [10] for more details.

Two properties of wall-crossing should be noticed. First, wall-crossing in the braiding transformation does not create or annihilate any simple root, but only transfers them from one location to another. We call this property the conservation law of wall-crossing. If the total types and numbers of the simple roots of two thimbles are different, the Yang-Yang functions of them are two different functions. From the definition of the braiding of the thimble, the braiding transformation only appears between the thimbles from one holomorphic function. Thus this property is natural. Second, the transfer of simple roots in the wall-crossing can only be from z_2 to z_1. The gradient flows in the symmetry breaking case are from z_1 and z_2 to the infinity in the positive direction of the real axis in w plane. In our assumption, z_1 and z_2 have the same real part and $\mathrm{Im}z_1 > \mathrm{Im}z_2$. Therefore, in the clockwise braiding, the wall-crossing appears when there is a gradient flow started from z_2 passing through z_1. Thus the only possible



FIGURE 2. Decomposition of a link diagram

transfer of simple roots is from z_2 to z_1. These two properties tell us that if we choose proper basis, the braiding matrix will be a diagonal partitioned matrix and each block in the diagonal will be a triangular matrix. Thus, they are actually sub-representations of the braiding.

3. Quantum mechanics and knot invariants

In [2], quantum mechanics was used to study knot invariants. We give a brief review of it in this section. After the projection on a plane, knots can be decomposed as two strands braiding $\mathcal{B}$, its inverse $\mathcal{B}^{-1}$, annihilation $\mathcal{M}_{ab}$, creation $\mathcal{M}^{ab}$ and identities, as is shown in Figure 2 and Figure 3 .

In quantum mechanics, the probability amplitude for the concatenation of processes is obtained by summing the products of the amplitudes of the intermediate configurations in the process over all possible internal configurations. The initial states v_I, intermediate states v_M and final states v_F form vector spaces V_I, V_M and V_F respectively. Denote the first process by P_{ab} from the initial state $v_a(a \in I)$ to the intermediate state $v_b(b \in M)$, then the P_{ab} is a transformation from the V_I to the V_M. The second process Q_{cd} is from the intermediate state $v_c(c \in M)$ to the final state $v_d(d \in F)$. Then the amplitude of the concatenation processes starts from $v_a(a \in I)$ and ends up with $v_d(d \in F)$ is $\sum_c P_{ac}Q_{cd} = (PQ)_{ad}$. Knots can be thought as a process starting from and ending up with a vacuum state with braiding, its inverse, creation, annihilation and identities as intermediate configurations. Thus knot invariants are vacuum expectations of a quantum mechanics system. For example, in Figure 2, the invariant $< K >$ of the knot K

FIGURE 3. Annihilation $\mathcal{M}_{ab}$ and creation $\mathcal{M}^{ab}$



FIGURE 4. Invariance under the topological moves

is

$$< K >= \mathcal{M}_{ab}\mathcal{M}_{cd}\delta^a_e\delta^d_h(\mathcal{B}^{-1})^{bc}_{fg}\mathcal{B}^{ef}_{ij}\mathcal{B}^{gh}_{kl}\mathcal{M}^{jk}\mathcal{M}^{il},$$

where we use Einstein notation for summation.

Now we focus our attention on the state space and the operators acting on it. To derive knot invariants from a general simple Lie algebra, we define the state space as the representation space V_λ of the finite dimensional irreducible highest weight representation of the simple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ with the highest weight λ. Then the braiding operator is defined as $\mathcal{B} : V_{\lambda_1} \otimes V_{\lambda_2} \longrightarrow V_{\lambda_1} \otimes V_{\lambda_2}$. We assume $\dim V_\lambda = m + 1$. Therefore, the braiding matrix is an $(m + 1)^2 \times (m + 1)^2$ matrix.

Annihilator is a function $f : V_{\lambda_1} \otimes V_{\lambda_2} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$. It is equivalent to $\mathcal{M} : V_{\lambda_1} \longrightarrow V^*_{\lambda_2} \cong V_{\lambda_1}$ and can be represented as an $(m + 1) \times (m + 1)$ matrix. Creator is a map $g : \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow V_{\lambda_1} \otimes V_{\lambda_2}$. It can also be represented as an $(m + 1) \times (m + 1)$ matrix. We denote the amplitudes for annihilation and creation between two states v_a and v_b as $\mathcal{M}_{ab}$ and $\mathcal{M}^{ab}$ respectively in Figure 3. As is shown in Figure 4, to be invariant under

the topological moves, they should be inverse to each other:

$$\sum_c \mathcal{M}^{bc}\mathcal{M}_{ca} = \sum_c \mathcal{M}_{ac}\mathcal{M}^{cb} = \delta_a^b. \tag{10}$$

We call the matrix of amplitudes for the annihilation of two strands fusion matrix $\mathcal{M}$, then the matrix of amplitudes for the creation of two strands is just its inverse $\mathcal{M}^{-1}$. For representations V_{λ_1} and V_{λ_2} ($\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$) mentioned above, we define two vectors v_s of weight $\lambda - \alpha_{i_1} - ... - \alpha_{i_s}$ and v_{m-s} of weight $\lambda - \alpha_{j_1} - ... - \alpha_{j_{m-s}}$ in V_{λ_1} and V_{λ_2} to be complementary to each other. Since only two complementary states can fuse into a vacuum state, fusion amplitudes are nonzero only between two complementary vectors. Then fusion matrix can be written as $\mathcal{M} : V_\lambda \longrightarrow V_\lambda$

$$\mathcal{M}\begin{pmatrix} v_m \\ v_{m-1} \\ ... \\ v_1 \\ v_0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} & & & & \mathcal{M}_{m0} \\ & 0 & & \mathcal{M}_{m-11} & \\ & & ... & & \\ & \mathcal{M}_{1m-1} & & 0 & \\ \mathcal{M}_{0m} & & & & \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} v_m \\ v_{m-1} \\ ... \\ v_1 \\ v_0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{11}$$

4. Braiding and fusion matrices for simple Lie algebras B_n, C_n and D_n

4.1. **Fundamental representation of B_n.** B_n has n simple roots $\alpha_i, i = 1, 2, ..., n$. Cartan matrix of B_n is

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 & & & \\ -1 & 2 & -1 & & \\ & -1 & ... & & \\ & & & 2 & -2 \\ & & & -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The highest weight of the fundamental representation V_λ of B_n is $\lambda = (1, 0, ..., 0)$. Here we use Dynkin label: weights are represented in the fundamental weight basis. They are $\lambda, \lambda - \alpha_1, \lambda - \alpha_1 - \alpha_2, ..., \lambda - \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i, \lambda - \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i - \alpha_n, \lambda - \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i - \alpha_n - \alpha_{n-1}, ..., \lambda - \sum_{i=1}^n 2\alpha_i$, as is shown in Figure 5. It is a $2n+1$ dimensional representation and naturally gives an order on weights of the fundamental representation. The ordered weights are denoted by $\lambda^0, \lambda^1, ..., \lambda^{2n}$.



FIGURE 5. Weights for the fundamental representation of B_n

Lemma 4.1.

$$(\lambda^s, \lambda^t) = \begin{cases} 1, & s+t \neq 2n, s = t; \\ 0, & s+t \neq 2n, s \neq t; \\ 0, & s+t = 2n, s = t; \\ -1, & s+t = 2n, s \neq t, \end{cases} \tag{12}$$

where $s, t = 0, 1, ..., 2n$.

Proof: The proof is straightforward.

It should be noticed that these inner products are irrelative to the rank n.

The fundamental representation of B_n has the following duality property.

Lemma 4.2.

$$(\lambda^s, \lambda^t) = (\lambda^{2n-s}, \lambda^{2n-t}), \tag{13}$$

where $s, t = 0, 1, ...2n$.

FIGURE 6. $\mathcal{J}_{2n}$ before braiding



FIGURE 7. $\mathcal{J}_{2n}$ after braiding and projection

Proof: $2n - s + 2n - t = 2n, 2n - s = 2n - t$ if and only if $s + t = 2n, s = t$. $2n - s + 2n - t \neq 2n, 2n - s = 2n - t$ if and only if $s + t \neq 2n, s = t$. From Lemma 4.1, the proof is straightforward.

We use the equation (3) to compute the phase factor coming from the braiding of the thimble $\mathcal{J}_{2n}$ of the generalized Yang-Yang function without symmetry breaking. In the fundamental representation of B_n Lie algebra, the simple roots α_{i_j} are $\alpha_{i_j} = \begin{cases} \alpha_j, & 1 \leq j \leq n; \\ \alpha_{2n+1-j}, & n < j \leq 2n. \end{cases}$
Thus

$$\mathcal{B}\mathcal{J}_{2n} = (-1)^{2n} q^{-\frac{1}{2}[(\lambda_1,\lambda_2)+\sum_{j<s}(\alpha_{i_j},\alpha_{i_s})-\sum_{j,\alpha}(\alpha_{i_j},\lambda_\alpha)]} \mathcal{J}_{2n} = q^n \mathcal{J}_{2n}. \tag{14}$$

In Figure 6, thimble $\mathcal{J}_{2n}$ is represented as a line connecting z_1 and z_2 in w plane, where $w = x_1 + ix_2$ and $\mathrm{Im}z_1 > \mathrm{Im}z_2$. After braided $\frac{\pi}{2}$ clockwise, thimble $\mathcal{J}_{2n}$ is twisted in the $2 + 1$ dimensional space time. It is shown in Figure 7 after projected on t, x_2

plane. The knot invariant for this twist is

$$< K >= \sum_{c,d} \mathcal{B}_{ab}^{cd} \mathcal{M}_{cd}.$$

Therefore, (14) implies that

$$\sum_{c,d} \mathcal{B}_{ab}^{cd} \mathcal{M}_{cd} = q^n \mathcal{M}_{ab}. \tag{15}$$

This means that the braiding of thimble $\mathcal{J}_{2n}$ without symmetry breaking gives an eigenvalue of the braiding matrix in symmetry breaking case.

Theorem 4.3. *For* $\mathbb{q} \neq 2n$,

$$\mathcal{B}\mathcal{J}_{f,\mathbb{q}-f} = \begin{cases} q^{-\frac{1}{2}}\mathcal{J}_{f,\mathbb{q}-f}, & f = \mathbb{q} - f; \\ \mathcal{J}_{\mathbb{q}-f,f}, & f > \mathbb{q} - f; \\ \mathcal{J}_{\mathbb{q}-f,f} + (q^{-\frac{1}{2}} - q^{\frac{1}{2}})\mathcal{J}_{f,\mathbb{q}-f}, & f < \mathbb{q} - f. \end{cases} \tag{16}$$

Proof:

- $f = \mathbb{q} - f$: There is no wall-crossing. From Lemma 2.4,

$$\mathcal{B}\mathcal{J}_{f,\mathbb{q}-f} = q^{-\frac{1}{2}(\lambda^f,\lambda^f)}\mathcal{J}_{f,\mathbb{q}-f} = q^{-\frac{1}{2}}\mathcal{J}_{f,\mathbb{q}-f};$$

- $f > \mathbb{q} - f$: Also there is no wall-crossing. From Lemma 2.4,

$$\mathcal{B}\mathcal{J}_{f,\mathbb{q}-f} = q^{-\frac{1}{2}(\lambda^f,\lambda^{\mathbb{q}-f})}\mathcal{J}_{f,\mathbb{q}-f} = \mathcal{J}_{f,\mathbb{q}-f};$$

- $f < \mathbb{q} - f$: From the conservation law of wall-crossing, there will be one wall-crossing term of $\mathcal{J}_{f,\mathbb{q}-f}$. From Lemma 2.4 and formula (9),

$$\mathcal{B}\mathcal{J}_{\mathbb{q}-f,f} = \mathcal{J}_{f,\mathbb{q}-f},$$

$$\mathcal{B}\mathcal{J}_{f,\mathbb{q}-f} = q^{-\frac{1}{2}(\lambda^f,\lambda^{\mathbb{q}-f})}\mathcal{J}_{\mathbb{q}-f,f} + d\mathcal{J}_{f,\mathbb{q}-f} = \mathcal{J}_{\mathbb{q}-f,f} + d\mathcal{J}_{f,\mathbb{q}-f},$$

where d is an unknown constant. The transformation of $\mathcal{J}_{f,\mathbb{q}-f}$ and $\mathcal{J}_{\mathbb{q}-f,f}$ forms into a matrix:

$$\mathcal{B}\begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{J}_{\mathbb{q}-f,f} \\ \mathcal{J}_{f,\mathbb{q}-f} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & d \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{J}_{\mathbb{q}-f,f} \\ \mathcal{J}_{f,\mathbb{q}-f} \end{pmatrix}.$$

To determine d, we derive the braiding matrix of cycles $C_{\mathbb{q}-f,f}$ and $C_{f,\mathbb{q}-f}$. For convenience, we assume that $\mathbb{q} - f = f + l$. From the second property of



FIGURE 8. $C_{f,f+l}$ before braiding



FIGURE 9. $C_{f,f+l}$ after braiding



FIGURE 10. Homology equivalence of wall-crossing part

wall-crossing, the only possible transfer of simple roots is from z_2 to z_1 , so the braiding of $C_{\mathfrak{q}-f,f}$ is easy:

$$\mathcal{B}C_{\mathfrak{q}-f,f} = C_{f+l,f} = q^{-\frac{1}{2}(\lambda^0,\lambda^0)}C_{f,f+l} = q^{-\frac{1}{2}(\lambda^0,\lambda^0)}C_{f,\mathfrak{q}-f} = q^{-\frac{1}{2}}C_{f,\mathfrak{q}-f}.$$

The braiding of $C_{f,q-f} = C_{f,f+l}$ will cause wall-crossing. As is shown in Figure 8, Figure 9 and Figure 10, wall-crossing part is equivalent in homology to a zig-zag cycle, which is starting from z_2, heads directly to $Rez = \infty$ before doubling back around z_1 and returning to $Rez = \infty$. Thus, there are three pieces in the wall-crossing part with two of them near z_1.

Consider the integral of the generalized Yang-Yang function on $C_{f,f+l}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{C_{f,f+l}} e^{-\frac{\mathcal{W}}{k+h^\vee}} \prod_r dw_r \\
&= \int_{z_1}^{+\infty} \dots \int_{z_1}^{+\infty} \prod_{j=1}^{f} dw_j \int_{z_2}^{+\infty} \dots \int_{z_2}^{+\infty} \prod_{s=f+1}^{2f} dw_s \int_{z_2}^{+\infty} \dots \int_{z_2}^{+\infty} \prod_{t=2f+1}^{2f+l} dw_t \\
&((z_1 - z_2)^{\frac{(\lambda,\lambda)}{k+h^\vee}} \prod_{r=1}^{2f+l} \prod_{a=1}^{2} (w_r - z_a)^{-\frac{(\alpha_{i_r},\lambda)}{k+h^\vee}} \prod_{r<r'} (w_r - w_{r'})^{\frac{(\alpha_{i_r},\alpha_{i_{r'}})}{k+h^\vee}} e^{\frac{c(\sum_r w_r - \frac{1}{2}\sum_a \|\lambda_a\| z_a)}{k+h^\vee}})
\end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

After braiding, this integral becomes:

(18)

$$\int_{z_2}^{+\infty}...\int_{z_2}^{+\infty}\prod_{j=1}^{f}dw_j\int_{z_1}^{+\infty}...\int_{z_1}^{+\infty}\prod_{s=f+1}^{2f}dw_s q^{-\frac{1}{2}[(\lambda,\lambda)-(\sum_j\alpha_{i_j},\lambda)-(\sum_s\alpha_{i_s},\lambda)+(\sum_j\alpha_{i_j},\sum_s\alpha_{i_s})]}$$

$$(\int_{z_1}^{z_2}...\int_{z_1}^{z_2}\prod_{t=2f+1}^{2f+l}dw_t q^{-\frac{1}{2}[(\sum_t\alpha_{i_t},\sum_j\alpha_{i_j})+(\sum_t\alpha_{i_t},\sum_s\alpha_{i_s})-(\sum_t\alpha_{i_t},\lambda)-(\sum_t\alpha_{i_t},\lambda)]}+\int_{z_2}^{+\infty}...\int_{z_2}^{+\infty}\prod_{t=2f+1}^{2f+l}dw_t)$$

$$(z_1-z_2)^{\frac{(\lambda,\lambda)}{k+h^\vee}}\prod_{r=1}^{2f+l}\prod_{a=1}^{2}(w_r-z_a)^{-\frac{(\alpha_{i_r},\lambda)}{k+h^\vee}}\prod_{r<r'}(w_r-w_{r'})^{\frac{(\alpha_{i_r},\alpha_{i_{r'}})}{k+h^\vee}}e^{\frac{c(\sum_r w_r-\frac{1}{2}\sum_a\|\lambda_a\|z_a)}{k+h^\vee}}$$

$$=\int_{z_2}^{+\infty}...\int_{z_2}^{+\infty}\prod_{j=1}^{f}dw_j\int_{z_1}^{+\infty}...\int_{z_1}^{+\infty}\prod_{s=f+1}^{2f}dw_s q^{-\frac{1}{2}[(\lambda-\sum_j\alpha_{i_j},\lambda-\sum_s\alpha_{i_s})]}$$

$$[(\int_{z_1}^{+\infty}...\int_{z_1}^{+\infty}-\int_{z_2}^{+\infty}...\int_{z_2}^{+\infty})\prod_{t=2f+1}^{2f+l}dw_t q^{\frac{1}{2}[(\sum_t\alpha_{i_t},\lambda-\sum_j\alpha_{i_j})+(\sum_t\alpha_{i_t},\lambda-\sum_s\alpha_{i_s})]}+\int_{z_2}^{+\infty}...\int_{z_2}^{+\infty}\prod_{t=2f+1}^{2f+l}dw_t]$$

$$(z_1-z_2)^{\frac{(\lambda,\lambda)}{k+h^\vee}}\prod_{r=1}^{2f+l}\prod_{a=1}^{2}(w_r-z_a)^{-\frac{(\alpha_{i_r},\lambda)}{k+h^\vee}}\prod_{r<r'}(w_r-w_{r'})^{\frac{(\alpha_{i_r},\alpha_{i_{r'}})}{k+h^\vee}}e^{\frac{c(\sum_r w_r-\frac{1}{2}\sum_a\|\lambda_a\|z_a)}{k+h^\vee}}$$

$$=\int_{z_2}^{+\infty}...\int_{z_2}^{+\infty}\prod_{j=1}^{f}dw_j\int_{z_1}^{+\infty}...\int_{z_1}^{+\infty}\prod_{s=f+1}^{2f}dw_s q^{-\frac{1}{2}[(\lambda^f,\lambda^f)]}$$

$$[(\int_{z_1}^{+\infty}...\int_{z_1}^{+\infty}-\int_{z_2}^{+\infty}...\int_{z_2}^{+\infty})\prod_{t=2f+1}^{2f+l}dw_t q^{\frac{1}{2}[2(\sum_t\alpha_{i_t},\lambda^f)]}+\int_{z_2}^{+\infty}...\int_{z_2}^{+\infty}\prod_{t=2f+1}^{2f+l}dw_t]$$

$$(z_1-z_2)^{\frac{(\lambda,\lambda)}{k+h^\vee}}\prod_{r=1}^{2f+l}\prod_{a=1}^{2}(w_r-z_a)^{-\frac{(\alpha_{i_r},\lambda)}{k+h^\vee}}\prod_{r<r'}(w_r-w_{r'})^{\frac{(\alpha_{i_r},\alpha_{i_{r'}})}{k+h^\vee}}e^{\frac{c(\sum_r w_r-\frac{1}{2}\sum_a\|\lambda_a\|z_a)}{k+h^\vee}}$$

$$=q^{-\frac{1}{2}[2(\lambda^{f+l},\lambda^f)-(\lambda^f,\lambda^f)]}\int_{C_{f+l,f}}e^{-\frac{\mathcal{W}}{k+h^\vee}}\prod_r dw_r+(q^{-\frac{1}{2}(\lambda^f,\lambda^f)}-q^{-\frac{1}{2}[2(\lambda^{f+l},\lambda^f)-(\lambda^f,\lambda^f)]})\int_{C_{f,f+l}}e^{-\frac{\mathcal{W}}{k+h^\vee}}\prod_r dw_r$$

The ranges of indexes a, j, r, r', s, t are $a = 1, 2$, $j = 1, 2, ..., f$, $s = f + 1, f + 2, ..., 2f$, $t = 2f + 1, 2f + 2, ..., 2f + l$ and $r, r' = 1, 2, ...2f + l$. In the first formula of equations above , $q^{-\frac{1}{2}[(\lambda,\lambda)-(\sum_j\alpha_{i_j},\lambda)-(\sum_s\alpha_{i_s},\lambda)+(\sum_j\alpha_{i_j},\sum_s\alpha_{i_s})]}$ comes from the braiding of the factor$(z_1-z_2)^{\frac{(\lambda,\lambda)}{k+h^\vee}}$, $(w_j-z_2)^{-\frac{(\alpha_{i_j},\lambda)}{k+h^\vee}}$, $(w_s-z_1)^{-\frac{(\alpha_{i_s},\lambda)}{k+h^\vee}}$ and $(w_j-w_s)^{\frac{(\alpha_{i_j},\alpha_{i_s})}{k+h^\vee}}$, $q^{-\frac{1}{2}[(\sum_t\alpha_{i_t},\sum_j\alpha_{i_j})+(\sum_t\alpha_{i_t},\sum_s\alpha_{i_s})-(\sum_t\alpha_{i_t},\lambda)-(\sum_t\alpha_{i_t},\lambda)]}$ from $(w_t-w_j)^{\frac{(\alpha_{i_t},\alpha_{i_j})}{k+h^\vee}}$,$(w_t-w_s)^{\frac{(\alpha_{i_t},\alpha_{i_s})}{k+h^\vee}}$, $(w_t-z_2)^{-\frac{(\alpha_{i_t},\lambda)}{k+h^\vee}}$ and $(w_t-z_1)^{-\frac{(\alpha_{i_t},\lambda)}{k+h^\vee}}$.



FIGURE 11. Constraint for $\mathcal{M}$

Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{B}C_{f,\mathbb{q}-f} &= \mathcal{B}C_{f,f+l} \\
&= q^{-\frac{1}{2}[2(\lambda^{f+l},\lambda^f)-(\lambda^f,\lambda^f)]}(C_{f+l,f} - C_{f,f+l}) + q^{-\frac{1}{2}(\lambda^f,\lambda^f)}C_{f,f+l} \\
&= q^{\frac{1}{2}}(C_{f+l,f} - C_{f,f+l}) + q^{-\frac{1}{2}}C_{f,f+l} \\
&= q^{\frac{1}{2}}C_{f+l,f} + (q^{-\frac{1}{2}} - q^{\frac{1}{2}})C_{f,f+l} \\
&= q^{\frac{1}{2}}C_{\mathbb{q}-f,f} + (q^{-\frac{1}{2}} - q^{\frac{1}{2}})C_{f,\mathbb{q}-f},
\end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

Thus,

$$\mathcal{B}\begin{pmatrix} C_{\mathbb{q}-f,f} \\ C_{f,\mathbb{q}-f} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & q^{-\frac{1}{2}} \\ q^{\frac{1}{2}} & q^{-\frac{1}{2}} - q^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} C_{\mathbb{q}-f,f} \\ C_{f,\mathbb{q}-f} \end{pmatrix}.$$

$\{C_{\mathbb{q}-f,f}, C_{f,\mathbb{q}-f}\}$ and $\{\mathcal{J}_{\mathbb{q}-f,f}, \mathcal{J}_{f,\mathbb{q}-f}\}$ are two basis in the same vector space, so braiding matrices in these two basis are similar to each other. Thus $d = q^{-\frac{1}{2}} - q^{\frac{1}{2}}$. This completes the proof.

Lemma 4.4. *For* $\mathbb{q} = 2n$,

$$\mathcal{B}\mathcal{J}_{f,2n-f} = \begin{cases} \mathcal{J}_{2n-f,f} + \sum_{i=1}^{2n-f} \beta_{f,2n-f}^{2n-f-i,f+i}\mathcal{J}_{2n-f-i,f+i}, & f = 2n-f; \\ q^{\frac{1}{2}}\mathcal{J}_{2n-f,f} + \sum_{i=1}^{2n-f} \beta_{f,2n-f}^{2n-f-i,f+i}\mathcal{J}_{2n-f-i,f+i}, & f \neq 2n-f, \end{cases} \tag{20}$$

where $\beta_{f,2n-f}^{2n-f-i,f+i}$ are unknown constants.

Proof:By the property of the wall-crossing , if we choose $\mathcal{J}_{2n,0}$, $\mathcal{J}_{2n-1,1}$,..., $\mathcal{J}_{0,2n}$ as a set of basis, $\mathcal{B}$ will be a triangular matrix on V_{2n}. The skew diagonal elements are coming from the formula (9) of the braiding in the symmetry breaking case.

To derive a knot invariant, we consider the general case of $\dim V_\lambda = m+1$ and assume that for $\mathbb{q} \neq m$,

$$\mathcal{BJ}_{s,\mathbb{q}-s} = \begin{cases} \gamma \mathcal{J}_{s,\mathbb{q}-s}, & s = \mathbb{q}-s; \\ \mathcal{J}_{\mathbb{q}-s,s}, & s > \mathbb{q}-s; \\ \mathcal{J}_{\mathbb{q}-s,s} + (\gamma - \gamma^{-1})\mathcal{J}_{s,\mathbb{q}-s}, & s < \mathbb{q}-s, \end{cases} \tag{21}$$

and

$$\mathcal{BJ}_{s,m-s} = \begin{cases} \mathcal{J}_{m-s,s} + \sum_{i=1}^{2m-s} \beta_{s,m-s}^{m-s-i,s+i} \mathcal{J}_{m-s-i,s+i}, & s = m-s; \\ \gamma^{-1}\mathcal{J}_{m-s,s} + \sum_{i=1}^{m-s} \beta_{s,m-s}^{m-s-i,s+i} \mathcal{J}_{m-s-i,s+i}, & s \neq m-s. \end{cases} \tag{22}$$

As is shown in Figure 11, braiding and fusion matrices must satisfy the following condition:

$$\sum_{b,d,e} \mathcal{B}_{cd}^{ab} \mathcal{M}_{be} \mathcal{M}^{de} = C\delta_c^a, \text{ where } C \text{ is a constant.} \tag{23}$$

We have:

Lemma 4.5. **When** m **is even:** *the condition (23) implies that*

$$\mathcal{M}_{am-a}\mathcal{M}^{am-a} = \begin{cases} \gamma^{2a-m-1}, & \frac{m}{2} < a \leq m; \\ 1, & a = \frac{m}{2}; \\ \gamma^{2a-m+1}, & 0 \leq a < \frac{m}{2}, \end{cases} \tag{24}$$

$$C = \gamma^m \text{ and } \beta_{am-a}^{am-a} = (\gamma - \gamma^{-1})(1 - \gamma^{2a-m+1}).$$

When m **is odd:** *the condition (23) implies that*

$$\mathcal{M}_{am-a}\mathcal{M}^{am-a} = \begin{cases} x\gamma^{2a-m-1}, & \frac{m}{2} < a \leq m; \\ x^{-1}\gamma^{2a-m+1}, & 0 \leq a < \frac{m}{2}, \end{cases} \tag{25}$$

$$C = x\gamma^m \text{ and } \beta_{am-a}^{am-a} = \gamma - \gamma^{-1} + (\gamma^{-1} - x^{-2}\gamma)\gamma^{2a-m+1}, \quad 0 \leq a < \frac{m}{2},$$

where $x = \mathcal{M}_{[\frac{m}{2}]+1[\frac{m}{2}]}\mathcal{M}^{[\frac{m}{2}]+1[\frac{m}{2}]}$.

Proof: The left hand side of (23) is equal to

$$L.H.S = \sum_e \mathcal{B}_{cm-e}^{am-e} \mathcal{M}_{m-ee} \mathcal{M}^{m-ee}.$$

From the conservation law of wall-crossing, $\mathcal{B}_{cd}^{ab}$ can be nonzero only when $c+d = a+b$. Thus,

$$L.H.S = \delta_c^a \sum_e \mathcal{B}_{am-e}^{am-e} \mathcal{M}_{m-ee} \mathcal{M}^{m-ee}.$$

- When $\frac{m}{2} < a \leq m$:

$$L.H.S = \delta_c^a \sum_{e \leq m-a} \mathcal{B}_{am-e}^{am-e} \mathcal{M}_{m-ee} \mathcal{M}^{m-ee}.$$

From (21),

$$L.H.S = \delta_c^a [\gamma \mathcal{M}_{am-a} \mathcal{M}^{am-a} + \sum_{e<m-a} (\gamma - \gamma^{-1}) \mathcal{M}_{m-ee} \mathcal{M}^{m-ee}].$$

- When $a = \frac{m}{2}$: From (21) and (22),

$$L.H.S = \delta_c^a [\mathcal{M}_{\frac{m}{2}\frac{m}{2}} \mathcal{M}^{\frac{m}{2}\frac{m}{2}} + \sum_{e<\frac{m}{2}} (\gamma - \gamma^{-1}) \mathcal{M}_{m-ee} \mathcal{M}^{m-ee}].$$

- When $0 \leq a < \frac{m}{2}$: From (21) and (22),

(26)

$$\begin{aligned} L.H.S &= \delta_c^a [\gamma \mathcal{M}_{am-a} \mathcal{M}^{am-a} + (\gamma - \gamma^{-1}) \sum_{e<m-a, e\neq a} \mathcal{M}_{m-ee} \mathcal{M}^{m-ee} + \mathcal{B}_{am-a}^{am-a} \mathcal{M}_{m-aa} \mathcal{M}^{m-aa}] \\ &= \delta_c^a [\gamma \mathcal{M}_{am-a} \mathcal{M}^{am-a} + (\gamma - \gamma^{-1}) \sum_{e<m-a, e\neq a} \mathcal{M}_{m-ee} \mathcal{M}^{m-ee} + \beta_{am-a}^{am-a} \mathcal{M}_{m-aa} \mathcal{M}^{m-aa}]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

- When $\frac{m}{2} < a \leq m$:

$$\gamma \mathcal{M}_{am-a} \mathcal{M}^{am-a} + \sum_{e<m-a} (\gamma - \gamma^{-1}) \mathcal{M}_{m-ee} \mathcal{M}^{m-ee} = C. \tag{27}$$

- When $a = \frac{m}{2}$:

$$\mathcal{M}_{\frac{m}{2}\frac{m}{2}} \mathcal{M}^{\frac{m}{2}\frac{m}{2}} + \sum_{e<\frac{m}{2}} (\gamma - \gamma^{-1}) \mathcal{M}_{m-ee} \mathcal{M}^{m-ee} = C. \tag{28}$$

- When $0 \leq a < \frac{m}{2}$:

$$\gamma \mathcal{M}_{am-a} \mathcal{M}^{am-a} + (\gamma - \gamma^{-1}) \sum_{e<m-a, e\neq a} \mathcal{M}_{m-ee} \mathcal{M}^{m-ee} + \beta_{am-a}^{am-a} \mathcal{M}_{m-aa} \mathcal{M}^{m-aa} = C. \tag{29}$$

When m is even: From (27) and (28),

$$\frac{\mathcal{M}_{am-a}\mathcal{M}^{am-a}}{\mathcal{M}_{a-1m-a+1}\mathcal{M}^{a-1m-a+1}} = \begin{cases} \gamma^2, & \frac{m}{2}+2 \le a \le m; \\ \gamma, & a = \frac{m}{2}+1. \end{cases}$$

It should be noted that

$$\mathcal{M}_{am-a}\mathcal{M}^{am-a} = (\mathcal{M}_{m-aa}\mathcal{M}^{m-aa})^{-1}.$$

Especially,

$$\mathcal{M}_{\frac{m}{2}\frac{m}{2}}\mathcal{M}^{\frac{m}{2}\frac{m}{2}} = 1.$$

This leads to

$$\mathcal{M}_{am-a}\mathcal{M}^{am-a} = \begin{cases} \gamma^{2a-m-1}, & \frac{m}{2} < a \le m; \\ 1, & a = \frac{m}{2}; \\ \gamma^{2a-m+1}, & 0 \le a < \frac{m}{2}. \end{cases}$$

Let $a = m$, from (27), we have

$$C = \gamma\mathcal{M}_{m0}\mathcal{M}^{m0} = \gamma^m.$$

Since all of $\mathcal{M}_{m-aa}\mathcal{M}^{m-aa}$ are known, from (29),

$$\beta_{am-a}^{am-a} = (\gamma - \gamma^{-1})(1 - \gamma^{2a-m+1}).$$

When m is odd: From (27),

$$\frac{\mathcal{M}_{am-a}\mathcal{M}^{am-a}}{\mathcal{M}_{a-1m-a+1}\mathcal{M}^{a-1m-a+1}} = \gamma^2, \quad a-1 > \frac{m}{2}.$$

It should be noted that

$$\mathcal{M}_{am-a}\mathcal{M}^{am-a} = (\mathcal{M}_{m-aa}\mathcal{M}^{m-aa})^{-1}.$$

If we assume that

$$x = \mathcal{M}_{[\frac{m}{2}]+1[\frac{m}{2}]}\mathcal{M}^{[\frac{m}{2}]+1[\frac{m}{2}]},$$

then

$$\mathcal{M}_{[\frac{m}{2}][\frac{m}{2}]+1}\mathcal{M}^{[\frac{m}{2}][\frac{m}{2}]+1} = x^{-1}.$$

This leads to

$$\mathcal{M}_{am-a}\mathcal{M}^{am-a} = \begin{cases} x\gamma^{2a-m-1}, & \frac{m}{2} < a \le m; \\ x^{-1}\gamma^{2a-m+1}, & 0 \le a < \frac{m}{2}. \end{cases}$$

Let $a = m$, from (27), we have

$$C = \gamma \mathcal{M}_{m0}\mathcal{M}^{m0} = x\gamma^m.$$

Since all of $\mathcal{M}_{m-aa}\mathcal{M}^{m-aa}$ are known, from (29),

$$\beta_{am-a}^{am-a} = \gamma - \gamma^{-1} + (\gamma^{-1} - x^{-2}\gamma)\gamma^{2a-m+1}, \quad 0 \leq a < \frac{m}{2}.$$

This completes the proof.

For the fundamental representation of B_n Lie algebra, $m = 2n$, $\gamma = q^{-\frac{1}{2}}$. From Lemma 4.5,

$$\mathcal{M}_{a2n-a}\mathcal{M}^{a2n-a} = \begin{cases} q^{n-a+\frac{1}{2}}, & n < a \leq 2n; \\ 1, & a = n; \\ q^{n-a-\frac{1}{2}}, & 0 \leq a < n, \end{cases} \tag{30}$$

$$C = q^{-n} \text{ and } \beta_{a2n-a}^{a2n-a} = (q^{-\frac{1}{2}} - q^{\frac{1}{2}})(1 - q^{n-a-\frac{1}{2}}).$$

Lemma 4.6. *For $\mathbb{q} = 2n$, if we choose $\mathcal{J}_{2n,0}, \mathcal{J}_{2n-1,1}, ..., \mathcal{J}_{1,2n-1}, \mathcal{J}_{0,2n}$ as a set of basis, then $\mathcal{B}$ is a $(2n+1) \times (2n+1)$ triangular matrix on V_{2n},*

$$\mathrm{Tr}\mathcal{B} = n(q^{-\frac{1}{2}} - q^{\frac{1}{2}}) + q^n \tag{31}$$

and

$$\det\mathcal{B} = (-1)^n q^n. \tag{32}$$

Proof: From Lemma 4.4, the skew diagonal elements are known, therefore

$$\det\mathcal{B} = (-1)^n \prod_{0 \leq a \leq 2n; a \neq n} q^{\frac{1}{2}} = (-1)^n q^n.$$

From Lemma 4.4 and Lemma 4.5,

$$\mathrm{Tr}\mathcal{B} = 1 + \sum_{0 \leq a \leq n-1} \beta_{a2n-a}^{a2n-a} = n(q^{-\frac{1}{2}} - q^{\frac{1}{2}}) + q^n.$$

This completes the proof.

After rotating Figure 11 $\frac{\pi}{2}$ counter clockwise, we have Figure 12, i.e.

$$\sum_{a,b} (\mathcal{B}^{-1})_{cd}^{ab}\mathcal{M}_{ab} = C\mathcal{M}_{cd}, \tag{33}$$



FIGURE 12.

where C must be the same constant in Figure 11. Thus,

$$\sum_{a,b} \mathcal{B}_{cd}^{ab} \mathcal{M}_{ab} = C^{-1} \mathcal{M}_{cd}. \tag{34}$$

Since

$$\mathcal{M}_{ab} = \delta^{b2n-a} \mathcal{M}_{a2n-a},$$

if we denote

$$\zeta^t = (\ \zeta_0 \quad \zeta_1 \quad \zeta_2 \quad \ldots \quad \zeta_{2n}\ ), \zeta_a = \mathcal{M}_{a2n-a},$$

then

$$\mathcal{B}\zeta = C^{-1}\zeta \text{ on } V_{2n}, \tag{35}$$

i.e. ζ is an eigenvector of $\mathcal{B}$ on V_{2n} with respect to the eigenvalue $C^{-1} = q^n$. This is in accordance with the braiding formula (14) for thimble $\mathcal{J}_{2n}$.

To find other eigenvalues of $\mathcal{B}$ on V_{2n}, we introduce the following Lemma:

Lemma 4.7. *Let $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}[y, y^{-1}]$, $\mathbb{Z}[y, y^{-1}]$ is a ring of Laurent polynomials of y. If*

$$\begin{cases} a_1 \cdot a_2 \cdot a_3 \cdot \ldots a_m = (-1)^{m_2}; \\ a_1 + a_2 + \ldots a_m = m_1 \cdot y - m_2 \cdot y^{-1}, \end{cases} \tag{36}$$

where $m_1, m_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $m_1 + m_2 = m$, then

$$a_i = \begin{cases} y, & i = 1, 2, \ldots, m_1; \\ -y^{-1}, & i = m_1 + 1, m_1 + 2, \ldots, m, \end{cases} \tag{37}$$

up to a symmetry group S_m action.

Proof:If for some i, a_i is not a monomial in $\mathbb{Z}[y, y^{-1}]$, then from

$$a_1 \cdot a_2 \cdot a_3 \cdot ...a_m = (-1)^{m_2},$$

we have

$$a_1 \cdot a_2 \cdot a_3 \cdot ...\check{a_i} \cdot ...a_m = \frac{(-1)^{m_2}}{a_i} \notin \mathbb{Z}[y, y^{-1}].$$

But for $j \neq i$, $a_j \in \mathbb{Z}[y, y^{-1}]$, so

$$a_1 \cdot a_2 \cdot a_3 \cdot ...\check{a_i} \cdot ...a_m \in \mathbb{Z}[y, y^{-1}].$$

The assumption leads to a contradiction, thus for any $i = 1, 2, ...m$, a_i is a monomial in $\mathbb{Z}[y, y^{-1}]$. Assume that

$$a_i = d_i y^{l_i}, \quad d_i \in \mathbb{Z}, l_i \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Then from

$$a_1 \cdot a_2 \cdot a_3 \cdot ...a_m = (-1)^{m_2},$$

we know

$$\begin{cases} d_i = \pm 1 \\ \sum_i l_i = 0. \end{cases} \tag{38}$$

Let

$$S_+ = \{i | l_i = 1\}$$

and

$$S_- = \{i | l_i = -1\},$$

then

$$\begin{cases} \sum_{i \in S_+} d_i = m_1 \\ \sum_{i \in S_-} d_i = -m_2. \end{cases} \tag{39}$$

From $d_i = \pm 1$, we have

$$\#S_+ \geqslant m_1 \text{ and } \#S_- \geqslant m_2.$$

S_+ and S_- are subsets of $\{1, 2, 3..., m\}$, therefore

$$\#S_+ + \#S_- \leqslant m.$$

Thus,

$$\#S_+ = m_1 \text{ and } \#S_- = m_2;$$

$$d_i = \begin{cases} 1, & i \in S_+; \\ -1, & i \in S_-. \end{cases}$$

This completes the proof.

Theorem 4.8. *All* $2n+1$ *eigenvalues for* $\mathcal{B}$ *on* V_{2n} *are*

$$e_i = \begin{cases} q^{-\frac{1}{2}}, & 1 \le i \le n; \\ -q^{\frac{1}{2}}, & n+1 \le i \le 2n; \\ q^n, & i = 2n+1. \end{cases}$$

Proof: We know the trace and determinant of $\mathcal{B}$ on V_{2n} from Lemma 4.6:

$$\mathrm{Tr}\mathcal{B} = n(q^{-\frac{1}{2}} - q^{\frac{1}{2}}) + q^n,$$

$$\det\mathcal{B} = (-1)^n q^n.$$

From Figure 12, one of $2n+1$ eigenvalues is $e_{2n+1} = q^n$. Thus, $\sum_{i=1}^{2n} e_i = n \cdot (q^{-\frac{1}{2}} - q^{\frac{1}{2}})$ and $\prod_{i=1}^{2n} e_i = (-1)^n$. From Lemma 4.7, theorem is straight forward.

Now we introduce a lemma to derive the braiding matrix.

Lemma 4.9. *Let* $S \in M(l, \mathbb{Z}[y, y^{-1}])$ *be any* $l \times l$ *symmetric matrix of the shape*

$$S_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 0, & i < l+1-j; \\ a^{-1}, & i \neq j \quad \text{and} \quad i = l+1-j; \\ 1, & i = j \quad \text{and} \quad i = l+1-j; \\ \textit{nonzero}, & i > l+1-j. \end{cases} \tag{40}$$

Assume that it has only three different monomial eigenvalues: a *of multiplicity* m, $-a^{-1}$ *of multiplicity* $l-m-1$, c *of multiplicity* 1. $a, -a^{-1}, c, \frac{c-c^{-1}}{a-a^{-1}} \in \mathbb{Z}[y, y^{-1}]$. $\xi^t = (\ \xi_1 \quad \xi_2 \quad \ldots \quad \xi_l\ )$ *is an eigenvector of* S *satisfying*

$$S \cdot \xi = c\xi, \tag{41}$$

and

$$|\xi|^2 = 1 - \frac{c - c^{-1}}{a - a^{-1}}. \tag{42}$$

Then

(1)

$$S - S^{-1} = (a^{-1} - a)(\xi \cdot \xi^t - I).$$

(2)

$$\xi_i \xi_{l+1-i} = 1, \quad i = 1, 2, ..., l$$

Proof: Notice that all the conditions and results are in $\mathbb{Z}[y, y^{-1}]$. However, we prove this lemma in the field of fractions of the polynomial ring $\mathbb{Z}[y, y^{-1}]$. First, there is an orthogonal matrix T, $S = T \cdot \Lambda \cdot T^t$, where

(43)
$$\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} a & & & & & & \\ & ... & & & & & \\ & & a & & & & \\ & & & -a^{-1} & & & \\ & & & & ... & & \\ & & & & & -a^{-1} & \\ & & & & & & c \end{pmatrix}.$$

Obviously,

(44)
$$\Lambda - \Lambda^{-1} = (a^{-1} - a)(\eta \cdot \eta^t - I), \quad \text{where} \quad \eta^t = (\ 0 \quad ... \quad 0 \quad (1 - \tfrac{c - c^{-1}}{a - a^{-1}})^{\frac{1}{2}}\ ).$$

Thus,

(45)
$$S - S^{-1} = (a^{-1} - a)(\xi' \cdot \xi'^t - I), \quad \text{where} \quad \xi' = T\eta.$$

(46)
$$S \cdot \xi' = T \cdot \Lambda \cdot T^t \cdot T \cdot \eta = T \cdot \Lambda \cdot \eta = cT \cdot \eta = c\xi',$$

i.e. ξ' is an eigenvector of S with respect to the eigenvalue c.

(47)
$$(S - S^{-1}) \cdot \xi' = (c - c^{-1})\xi' = (a^{-1} - a)(\xi' \cdot \xi'^t \cdot \xi' - \xi') = (a^{-1} - a)(|\xi'|^2 - 1)\xi'.$$

Thus,

(48)
$$|\xi'|^2 = 1 - \frac{c - c^{-1}}{a - a^{-1}}.$$

Because the multiplicity of eigenvalue c is 1, the dimension of eigenvector space with respect to c is also 1. ξ and ξ' are linearly relative.

$$|\xi'|^2 = |\xi|^2 = 1 - \frac{c - c^{-1}}{a - a^{-1}},$$

therefore

$$\xi' = \pm\xi.$$

Thus,

$$S - S^{-1} = (a^{-1} - a)(\xi \cdot \xi^t - I).$$

From

$$(S^{-1})_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 0, & i > l + 1 - j; \\ a, & i \neq j \quad \text{and} \quad i = l + 1 - j; \\ 1, & i = j \quad \text{and} \quad i = l + 1 - j; \\ \textit{nonzero}, & i < l + 1 - j, \end{cases} \tag{49}$$

it is straightforward to see

$$\xi \cdot \xi^t = \frac{1}{a^{-1} - a}(S - S^{-1}) + I = \begin{pmatrix} & & & 1 \\ & * & 1 & \\ & \cdots & & \\ & 1 & * & \\ 1 & & & \end{pmatrix}. \tag{50}$$

Thus,

$$\xi_i \xi_{l+1-i} = 1. \tag{51}$$

This completes the proof.

Remark 4.10. Lemma 4.9 is used to prove that the braiding and fusion matrices we derived give Kauffman polynomial. The condition (42) is actually a constraint condition for the fusion matrix $\mathcal{M}$:$\sum_{a,b}(\mathcal{M}_{ab}\mathcal{M}^{ab}) = 1 - \frac{c-c^{-1}}{a-a^{-1}}$. We will see it is equivalent to the condition $D_{\bigcirc} = ((\alpha - \alpha^{-1})/z) + 1$ in the definition of Kauffman polynomial.

Theorem 4.11. *The braiding matrix $\mathcal{B}$ and fusion matrix $\mathcal{M}$ corresponding to the fundamental representation of B_n Lie algebra satisfy the following conditions:*

(1) $\mathcal{B}_{ac}^{bd} - (\mathcal{B}^{-1})_{ac}^{bd} = (q^{\frac{1}{2}} - q^{-\frac{1}{2}})(\mathcal{M}_{ac}\mathcal{M}^{bd} - \delta_a^b\delta_c^d)$.

(2) $\sum_{a,b}(\mathcal{M}_{ab}\mathcal{M}^{ab}) = \frac{q^n - q^{-n}}{q^{\frac{1}{2}} - q^{-\frac{1}{2}}} + 1$.

(3) $\sum_{c,d}\mathcal{B}_{ab}^{cd}\mathcal{M}_{cd} = q^n\mathcal{M}_{ab}$.

(4) $\sum_{c,d}(\mathcal{B}^{-1})_{ab}^{cd}\mathcal{M}_{cd} = q^{-n}\mathcal{M}_{ab}$.

Proof: Now let $y = q^{\frac{1}{2}}$, $a = q^{-\frac{1}{2}}$, $c = q^n$, $l = 2n + 1$ and $S = \mathcal{B}$ on V_{2n}, then from Lemma 4.9, if

$$\mathcal{B} \cdot \xi = q^n\xi \text{ on } V_{2n},$$

and

$$|\xi|^2 = 1 - \frac{q^n - q^{-n}}{q^{-\frac{1}{2}} - q^{\frac{1}{2}}},$$

then

$$\mathcal{B} - \mathcal{B}^{-1} = (q^{\frac{1}{2}} - q^{-\frac{1}{2}})(\xi \cdot \xi^t - I) \text{ on } V_{2n}. \tag{52}$$

From (35),

$$\zeta_a = \mathcal{M}_{a2n-a}$$

is also an eigenvector of $\mathcal{B}$ on V_{2n} with respect to the eigenvalue q^n, thus

$$\zeta = d \cdot \xi,$$

i.e.

$$\mathcal{M}_{a2n-a} = d \cdot \xi_{a+1},$$

where d is a constant. From Lemma 4.9,

$$\mathcal{M}^{2n-aa} = d^{-1} \cdot (\xi_{a+1})^{-1} = d^{-1} \cdot \xi_{2n+1-a},$$

or

$$\mathcal{M}^{a2n-a} = d^{-1} \cdot \xi_{a+1}.$$

Thus

$$\mathcal{M}_{a2n-a}\mathcal{M}^{b2n-b} = \xi_{a+1} \cdot \xi_{b+1},$$

$$\sum_{a,b}(\mathcal{M}_{ab}\mathcal{M}^{ab}) = |\xi|^2 = 1 - \frac{c - c^{-1}}{a - a^{-1}} = 1 + \frac{q^n - q^{-n}}{q^{\frac{1}{2}} - q^{-\frac{1}{2}}}.$$

From (52),

$$\mathcal{B}_{a2n-a}^{b2n-b} - (\mathcal{B}^{-1})_{a2n-a}^{b2n-b} = (q^{\frac{1}{2}} - q^{-\frac{1}{2}})(\mathcal{M}_{a2n-a}\mathcal{M}^{b2n-b} - \delta_a^b\delta_{2n-a}^{2n-b}) \text{ on } V_{2n}. \tag{53}$$

For $\mathbb{q} \neq 2n$,

$$\mathcal{B}\mathcal{J}_{m,\mathbb{q}-m} = \begin{cases} q^{-\frac{1}{2}}\mathcal{J}_{m,\mathbb{q}-m}, & m = \mathbb{q} - m; \\ \mathcal{J}_{\mathbb{q}-m,m}, & m > \mathbb{q} - m; \\ \mathcal{J}_{\mathbb{q}-m,m} + (q^{-\frac{1}{2}} - q^{\frac{1}{2}})\mathcal{J}_{m,\mathbb{q}-m}, & m < \mathbb{q} - m. \end{cases} \tag{54}$$

$$\mathcal{B}^{-1}\mathcal{J}_{m,\mathbb{q}-m} = \begin{cases} q^{\frac{1}{2}}\mathcal{J}_{m,\mathbb{q}-m}, & m = \mathbb{q} - m; \\ \mathcal{J}_{\mathbb{q}-m,m} + (q^{\frac{1}{2}} - q^{-\frac{1}{2}})\mathcal{J}_{m,\mathbb{q}-m}, & m > \mathbb{q} - m; \\ \mathcal{J}_{\mathbb{q}-m,m}, & m < \mathbb{q} - m. \end{cases} \tag{55}$$

Thus, for $\mathbb{q} \neq 2n$,

$$\mathcal{B} - \mathcal{B}^{-1} = (q^{-\frac{1}{2}} - q^{\frac{1}{2}})I \quad on \quad V_{\mathbb{q}}. \tag{56}$$

Combining two equations (56) and (53) together, we have

$$\mathcal{B}^{bd}_{ac} - (\mathcal{B}^{-1})^{bd}_{ac} = (q^{\frac{1}{2}} - q^{-\frac{1}{2}})(\mathcal{M}_{ac}\mathcal{M}^{bd} - \delta^b_a\delta^d_c). \tag{57}$$

Since $C = q^{-n}$, (34) and (33) are equivalent to

$$\sum_{c,d} \mathcal{B}^{cd}_{ab}\mathcal{M}_{cd} = q^n\mathcal{M}_{ab} \tag{58}$$

and

$$\sum_{c,d} (\mathcal{B}^{-1})^{cd}_{ab}\mathcal{M}_{cd} = q^{-n}\mathcal{M}_{ab}. \tag{59}$$

This completes the proof.

To derive the braiding matrix $\mathcal{B}$ on V_{2n}, we choose a special solution of $\mathcal{M}_{ab}$. As the same as in the case of A_n Lie algebra [10], we choose $\mathcal{M}_{ab}$ satisfying the following normalization condition:

$$\mathcal{M}_{a2n-a} \cdot \mathcal{M}_{2n-aa} = 1, \quad a = 0, 1, 2, ..., 2n, \tag{60}$$

or equivalently,

$$\mathcal{M}_{ab} = \mathcal{M}^{ab}, \quad a, b = 0, 1, 2, ..., 2n. \tag{61}$$

It should be noticed that Theorem 4.11 is independent of this normalization.

Lemma 4.12. *From the condition (23)*

$$\sum_{b,d,e} \mathcal{B}_{cd}^{ab} \mathcal{M}_{be} \mathcal{M}^{de} = C\delta_c^a$$

and (61)

$$\mathcal{M}_{ab} = \mathcal{M}^{ab},$$

we have

$$(\mathcal{M}_{a2n-a})^2 = \begin{cases} q^{\frac{2n-2a+1}{2}}, & n+1 \le a \le 2n; \\ 1, & a = n; \\ q^{\frac{2n-2a-1}{2}}, & 0 \le a \le n-1, \end{cases} \tag{62}$$

Proof: It is straight forward from Lemma 4.5.

For convenience, we choose

(63)

$$\mathcal{M}\begin{pmatrix} v_{2n} \\ v_{2n-1} \\ \dots \\ v_{n+1} \\ v_n \\ v_{n-1} \\ \dots \\ v_1 \\ v_0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} & & & & & & & & q^{\frac{1}{2}(-n+\frac{1}{2})} \\ & & & & & & & q^{\frac{1}{2}(-n+1+\frac{1}{2})} & \\ & & & & & & \dots & & \\ & & & & & q^{-\frac{1}{4}} & & & \\ & & & & 1 & & & & \\ & & & q^{\frac{1}{4}} & & & & & \\ & & \dots & & & & 0 & & \\ & q^{\frac{1}{2}(n-1-\frac{1}{2})} & & & & & & & \\ q^{\frac{1}{2}(n-\frac{1}{2})} & & & & & & & & \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} v_{2n} \\ v_{2n-1} \\ \dots \\ v_{n+1} \\ v_n \\ v_{n-1} \\ \dots \\ v_1 \\ v_0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now we can use the formula (53) to determine the unknown elements β_{a2n-a}^{2n-bb} in the braiding matrix $\mathcal{B}$ on V_{2n}.

Theorem 4.13. *For any $a = 0, 1, 2, \dots 2n-1$, $a < b$,*

$$\beta_{a2n-a}^{2n-bb} = \begin{cases} (q^{\frac{1}{2}} - q^{-\frac{1}{2}}) q^{-\frac{1}{2}(a-b)}, & (a-n)(b-n) > 0; \\ (q^{\frac{1}{2}} - q^{-\frac{1}{2}}) q^{-\frac{1}{2}(a-b+\frac{1}{2})}, & (a-n)(b-n) = 0; \\ (q^{\frac{1}{2}} - q^{-\frac{1}{2}}) q^{-\frac{1}{2}(a-b+1)} + \delta_a^{2n-b}(q^{-\frac{1}{2}} - q^{\frac{1}{2}}), & (a-n)(b-n) < 0. \end{cases} \tag{64}$$

Proof: It is a straight forward calculation from (53) and (63).

Thus, the braiding matrix $\mathcal{B}$ is derived and it is independent of the normalization condition (60). One can check that when $e^h = q^{-\frac{1}{2}}$, this result is the same as that in section 7.3C of [9], therefore, braiding matrices we derived satisfy Yang-Baxter equation.

4.2. **Fundamental representation of C_n.** C_n has n simple roots $\alpha_i, i = 1, 2, ..., n$. Cartan matrix of C_n is

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 & & & \\ -1 & 2 & -1 & & \\ & -1 & ... & & \\ & & & 2 & -1 \\ & & & -2 & 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The highest weight of the fundamental representation V_λ of C_n is $\lambda = (1, 0, ..., 0)$. Weights of the fundamental representation are $\lambda, \lambda - \alpha_1, \lambda - \alpha_1 - \alpha_2, ..., \lambda - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i, \lambda - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i - \alpha_{n-1}, \lambda - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i - \alpha_{n-1} - \alpha_{n-2}, ..., \lambda - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} 2\alpha_i - \alpha_n$, as is shown in Figure 13. It is a $2n$ dimensional representation and naturally gives an order on weights of the fundamental representation. The ordered weights are denoted by $\lambda^0, \lambda^1, ..., \lambda^{2n-1}$.

Lemma 4.14.

$$(\lambda^s, \lambda^t) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}, & s = t; \\ 0, & s + t \neq 2n - 1, s \neq t; \\ -\frac{1}{2}, & s + t = 2n - 1, \end{cases} \tag{65}$$

where $s, t = 0, 1, ..., 2n - 1$.

Proof: The proof is straightforward.

These inner products are irrelative to the rank n.

Lemma 4.15.

$$(\lambda^s, \lambda^t) = (\lambda^{2n-1-s}, \lambda^{2n-1-t}), \tag{66}$$

where $s, t = 0, 1, ..., 2n - 1$.



FIGURE 13. Weights for the fundamental representation of C_n

Proof: $2n-1-s+2n-1-t = 2n-1, 2n-1-s = 2n-1-t$ if and only if $s+t = 2n-1, s = t$. $2n-1-s+2n-1-t \neq 2n-1, 2n-1-s = 2n-1-t$ if and only if $s+t \neq 2n-1, s = t$. From the Lemma 4.14, the proof is straightforward.

When $\mathbb{q} = 2n-1$ without symmetry breaking, the simple roots α_{i_j} in (3) are $\alpha_{i_j} = \begin{cases} \alpha_j, & 1 \leq j \leq n; \\ \alpha_{2n-j}, & n < j \leq 2n-1. \end{cases}$
So

$$\mathcal{B}\mathcal{J}_{2n-1} = (-1)^{2n-1} q^{-\frac{1}{2}[(\lambda_1,\lambda_2)+\sum_{j<s}(\alpha_{i_j},\alpha_{i_s})-\sum_{j,\alpha}(\alpha_{i_j},\lambda_\alpha)]} \mathcal{J}_{2n-1} = -q^{\frac{n}{2}+\frac{1}{4}} \mathcal{J}_{2n-1}. \tag{67}$$

The minus comes from the fact that the braiding changes each dimension of the thimble $\mathcal{J}_{2n-1}$ into the opposite direction and $\mathcal{J}_{2n-1}$ is odd dimensional.

As the same reason as in the case of B_n Lie algebra, it implies that

$$\sum_{c,d} \mathcal{B}_{ab}^{cd} \mathcal{M}_{cd} = -q^{\frac{n}{2}+\frac{1}{4}} \mathcal{M}_{ab}. \tag{68}$$

By the same method as in the case of B_n Lie algebra, we derive the braiding and fusion matrices for the fundamental representation of C_n Lie algebra and omit the proof.

Theorem 4.16. *For* $\mathbb{q} \neq 2n-1$,

$$\mathcal{BJ}_{s,\mathbb{q}-s} = \begin{cases} q^{-\frac{1}{4}}\mathcal{J}_{s,\mathbb{q}-s}, & s = \mathbb{q}-s; \\ \mathcal{J}_{\mathbb{q}-s,s}, & s > \mathbb{q}-s; \\ \mathcal{J}_{\mathbb{q}-s,s} + (q^{-\frac{1}{4}} - q^{\frac{1}{4}})\mathcal{J}_{s,\mathbb{q}-s}, & s < \mathbb{q}-s. \end{cases} \tag{69}$$

Lemma 4.17. *For* $\mathbb{q} = 2n-1$,

$$\mathcal{BJ}_{f,2n-1-f} = q^{\frac{1}{4}}\mathcal{J}_{2n-1-f,f} + \sum_{i=1}^{2n-1-f} \beta_{f,2n-1-f}^{2n-1-f-i,f+i}\mathcal{J}_{2n-1-f-i,f+i} \tag{70}$$

where $\beta_{f,2n-1-f}^{2n-1-f-i,f+i}$ are unknown constants.

For the fundamental representation of C_n Lie algebra, $m = 2n-1$, $\gamma = q^{-\frac{1}{4}}$. From Lemma 4.5,

$$\mathcal{M}_{a2n-1-a}\mathcal{M}^{a2n-1-a} = \begin{cases} xq^{\frac{n-a}{2}}, & n \leq a \leq 2n-1; \\ x^{-1}q^{\frac{n-a-1}{2}}, & 0 \leq a < n, \end{cases} \tag{71}$$

where

$$x = \mathcal{M}_{nn-1}\mathcal{M}^{nn-1}.$$

$$C = xq^{-\frac{2n-1}{4}} \text{ and } \beta_{a2n-1-a}^{a2n-1-a} = (q^{-\frac{1}{4}} - q^{\frac{1}{4}}) + (q^{\frac{1}{4}} - x^{-2}q^{-\frac{1}{4}})q^{\frac{n-a-1}{2}}.$$

The condition (42)

$$|\xi|^2 = 1 - \frac{c - c^{-1}}{a - a^{-1}}$$

in Lemma 4.9 now is equivalent to

$$\sum_{a,b}(\mathcal{M}_{ab}\mathcal{M}^{ab}) = 1 - \frac{c - c^{-1}}{a - a^{-1}}, \tag{72}$$

where

$$c = x^{-1}q^{\frac{2n-1}{4}}, a = q^{-\frac{1}{4}}.$$

It follows that

$$x = 1 \text{ or } x = -q^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

From (68), $x = \mathcal{M}_{nn-1}\mathcal{M}^{nn-1} = -q^{-\frac{1}{2}}$

$$\mathcal{M}_{a2n-1-a}\mathcal{M}^{a2n-1-a} = \begin{cases} -q^{\frac{n-a-1}{2}}, & n \le a \le 2n-1; \\ -q^{\frac{n-a}{2}}, & 0 \le a < n, \end{cases} \tag{73}$$

$$C = -q^{-\frac{2n+1}{4}} \text{ and } \beta^{a2n-1-a}_{a2n-1-a} = (q^{-\frac{1}{4}} - q^{\frac{1}{4}}) + (q^{\frac{1}{4}} - q^{\frac{3}{4}})q^{\frac{n-a-1}{2}}.$$

From Lemma 4.7,

Theorem 4.18. *All* $2n$ *eigenvalues for* $\mathcal{B}$ *on* V_{2n-1} *are*

$$e_i = \begin{cases} q^{-\frac{1}{4}}, & 1 \le i \le n; \\ -q^{\frac{1}{4}}, & n+1 \le i \le 2n-1; \\ -q^{\frac{2n+1}{4}}, & i = 2n. \end{cases}$$

Let $y = q^{\frac{1}{4}}$, $a = q^{-\frac{1}{4}}$, $c = -q^{\frac{2n+1}{4}}$, $l = 2n$, $m = n$ and $S = \mathcal{B}$ on V_{2n-1}, then from Lemma 4.9,

$$\mathcal{B}^{b2n-1-b}_{a2n-1-a} - (\mathcal{B}^{-1})^{b2n-1-b}_{a2n-1-a} = (q^{\frac{1}{4}} - q^{-\frac{1}{4}})(\mathcal{M}_{a2n-1-a}\mathcal{M}^{b2n-1-b} - \delta^b_a\delta^{2n-1-b}_{2n-1-a}) \text{ on } V_{2n-1}. \tag{74}$$

Theorem 4.19. *The braiding matrix* $\mathcal{B}$ *and fusion matrix* M *corresponding to the fundamental representation of* C_n *Lie algebra satisfy the following conditions:*

(1) $\mathcal{B}^{bd}_{ac} - (\mathcal{B}^{-1})^{bd}_{ac} = (q^{\frac{1}{4}} - q^{-\frac{1}{4}})(\mathcal{M}_{ac}\mathcal{M}^{bd} - \delta^b_a\delta^d_c)$
(2) $\sum_{a,b}(\mathcal{M}_{ab}\mathcal{M}^{ab}) = \frac{q^{-\frac{2n+1}{4}} - q^{\frac{2n+1}{4}}}{q^{\frac{1}{4}} - q^{-\frac{1}{4}}} + 1$
(3) $\sum_{c,d}\mathcal{B}^{cd}_{ab}\mathcal{M}_{cd} = -q^{\frac{2n+1}{4}}\mathcal{M}_{ab}$
(4) $\sum_{c,d}(\mathcal{B}^{-1})^{cd}_{ab}\mathcal{M}_{cd} = -q^{-\frac{2n+1}{4}}\mathcal{M}_{ab}$.

Under the normalization condition:

$$\mathcal{M}_{a2n-1-a} \cdot \mathcal{M}_{2n-1-aa} = 1, \quad a = 0, 1, 2, ..., 2n-1, \tag{75}$$

or equivalently,

$$\mathcal{M}_{ab} = \mathcal{M}^{ab}, \quad a, b = 0, 1, 2, ..., 2n-1, \tag{76}$$

we choose

$$\mathcal{M}\begin{pmatrix} v_{2n-1} \\ v_{2n-2} \\ \dots \\ v_n \\ v_{n-1} \\ \dots \\ v_1 \\ v_0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} & & & & & & & iq^{\frac{-n}{4}} \\ & & & & & & iq^{\frac{-n+1}{4}} & \\ & & & & & \dots & & \\ & & & & iq^{-\frac{1}{4}} & & & \\ & & & -iq^{\frac{1}{4}} & & & & \\ & & \dots & & & 0 & & \\ & -iq^{\frac{n-1}{4}} & & & & & & \\ -iq^{\frac{n}{4}} & & & & & & & \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} v_{2n-1} \\ v_{2n-2} \\ \dots \\ v_n \\ v_{n-1} \\ \dots \\ v_1 \\ v_0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{77}$$

It should be noticed that Theorem 4.19 is independent of this normalization.

Now we can use (74) to determine the unknown elements $\beta^{2n-1-bb}_{a2n-1-a}$ in the braiding matrix $\mathcal{B}$ on V_{2n-1}.

Theorem 4.20. *For any $a = 0, 1, 2, ...2n-2$, $a < b$,*

(78)

$$\beta^{2n-1-bb}_{a2n-1-a} = \begin{cases} (q^{\frac{1}{4}} - q^{-\frac{1}{4}})q^{-\frac{1}{4}(a-b)}, & (a-n+\frac{1}{2})(b-n+\frac{1}{2}) > 0; \\ (q^{-\frac{1}{4}} - q^{\frac{1}{4}})q^{-\frac{1}{4}(a-b-1)} + \delta^{2n-1-b}_{a}(q^{-\frac{1}{4}} - q^{\frac{1}{4}}), & (a-n+\frac{1}{2})(b-n+\frac{1}{2}) < 0. \end{cases}$$

Thus, the braiding matrix $\mathcal{B}$ is derived and it is independent of the normalization condition (75). One can check that when $e^h = q^{-\frac{1}{4}}$, this result is the same as that in section 7.3C of [9], therefore, braiding matrices we derived satisfy Yang-Baxter equation.

4.3. **Fundamental representation of D_n.** D_n has n simple roots $\alpha_i, i = 1, 2, ..., n$. Cartan matrix of D_n is

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 & 0 & & & & \\ -1 & 2 & -1 & & & & \\ 0 & -1 & 2 & & & & \\ & & & \dots & & & \\ & & & & 2 & -1 & -1 \\ & & & & -1 & 2 & 0 \\ & & & & -1 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$



FIGURE 14. Weights for the fundamental representation of D_n

The highest weight of the fundamental representation V_λ of D_n is $\lambda = (1, 0, ..., 0)$. The weights of the fundamental representation are $\lambda, \lambda - \alpha_1, \lambda - \alpha_1 - \alpha_2, ..., \lambda - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \alpha_i, \lambda - \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} \alpha_i - \alpha_n, \lambda - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i, \lambda - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i - \alpha_{n-2}, \lambda - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i - \alpha_{n-2} - \alpha_{n-3}, ..., \lambda - \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} 2\alpha_i - \alpha_{n-1} - \alpha_n$, as is shown in Figure 14. We denote them as $\lambda^0, \lambda^1, ..., \lambda^{n-1}, \lambda^{n-1'}, \lambda^n, ..., \lambda^{2n-2}$, where $\lambda^{n-1} = \lambda - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \alpha_i$ and $\lambda^{n-1'} = \lambda - \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} \alpha_i - \alpha_n$. For convenience of discussion, we define the order of the weight as follows.

Definition 4.21. Order of $a = 0, 1, ..., n-2, n-1, n-1', n, ...2n-2$ is defined as

$$o(a) = \begin{cases} a, & a = 0, 1, 2, ..., n-2, n-1; \\ n, & a = n-1'; \\ a+1, & a = n, n+1, ..., 2n-2. \end{cases} \tag{79}$$

Lemma 4.22.

$$(\lambda^s, \lambda^t) = \begin{cases} 1, & o(s)+o(t) \neq 2n-1, s=t; \\ 0, & o(s)+o(t) \neq 2n-1, s \neq t; \\ -1, & o(s)+o(t)=2n-1, \end{cases} \tag{80}$$

where $s, t = 0, 1, 2, ..., n-2, n-1, n-1', n, n+1, ..., 2n-2.$

Proof: $\lambda^{n-1}+\lambda^{n-1'} = 2\lambda - \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} 2\alpha_i - \alpha_{n-1} - \alpha_n$, $\lambda^{n-1'}+\lambda^{n-1'} \neq 2\lambda - \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} 2\alpha_i - \alpha_{n-1} - \alpha_n$ and $\lambda^{n-1}+\lambda^{n-1} \neq 2\lambda - \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} 2\alpha_i - \alpha_{n-1} - \alpha_n$. If $\lambda^s + \lambda^t = 2\lambda - \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} 2\alpha_i - \alpha_{n-1} - \alpha_n$, then $s \neq t$. Thus, there are only three cases for the inner products of the weights. The proof is immediate.

Two weights λ^s andλ^t are complementary to each other, if $\lambda^s + \lambda^t = 2\lambda - \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} 2\alpha_i - \alpha_{n-1} - \alpha_n$,i.e. $o(s) + o(t) = 2n-1$. These inner products are also irrelative to the rank n. D_n also has duality property, the inner products of two weights are the same as the inner products of two complementary weights.

Lemma 4.23. *If* $o(s) + o(u) = 2n-1$ *and* $o(t) + o(v) = 2n-1$, *then*

$$(\lambda^s, \lambda^t) = (\lambda^u, \lambda^v), \tag{81}$$

where $s, t = 0, 1, 2, ..., n-2, n-1, n-1', n, n+1, ..., 2n-2.$

Proof: $o(s)+o(t) = 2n-1$ if and only if $o(u)+o(v) = 2n-1$. $o(s)+o(t) \neq 2n-1, s \neq t$ if and only if $o(u) + o(v) \neq 2n-1, u \neq v$. $o(s) + o(t) \neq 2n-1, s = t$ if and only if $o(u) + o(v) \neq 2n-1, u = v$. This completes the proof.

When $\mathbb{q} = 2n-2$ without symmetry breaking, the simple roots α_{i_j} in (3) are $\alpha_{i_j} = \begin{cases} \alpha_j, & 1 \leq j \leq n; \\ \alpha_{2n-1-j}, & n < j \leq 2n-2. \end{cases}$ Thus,

$$\mathcal{BJ}_{2n-2} = (-1)^{2n-2} q^{-\frac{1}{2}[(\lambda_1,\lambda_2)+\sum_{j<s}(\alpha_{i_j},\alpha_{i_s})-\sum_{j,\alpha}(\alpha_{i_j},\lambda_\alpha)]} \mathcal{J}_{2n-2} = q^{n-\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{J}_{2n-2}. \tag{82}$$

As the same reason as in the case of B_n Lie algebra, it implies that

$$\sum_{c,d} \mathcal{B}_{ab}^{cd} \mathcal{M}_{cd} = q^{n-\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{M}_{ab}. \tag{83}$$

By the same method as in the case of B_n Lie algebra, we derive the braiding and fusion matrices for the fundamental representation of D_n Lie algebra and omit the proof.

Theorem 4.24. *For any $\mathcal{J}_{a,b} \in V_{\mathbb{q}}$, $\mathbb{q} \neq 2n-2$,*

$$\mathcal{B}\mathcal{J}_{a,b} = \begin{cases} q^{-\frac{1}{2}}\mathcal{J}_{a,b}, & a = b; \\ \mathcal{J}_{b,a}, & o(a) > o(b); \\ \mathcal{J}_{b,a} + (q^{-\frac{1}{2}} - q^{\frac{1}{2}})\mathcal{J}_{a,b}, & o(a) < o(b). \end{cases} \tag{84}$$

Lemma 4.25. *For any $\mathcal{J}_{a,b} \in V_{\mathbb{q}}$, $\mathbb{q} = 2n-2$,*

$$\mathcal{B}\mathcal{J}_{a,b} = \begin{cases} q^{\frac{1}{2}}\mathcal{J}_{b,a} + \sum_{o(c)<o(b);o(c)+o(d)=2n-1} \beta_{a,b}^{c,d}\mathcal{J}_{c,d}, & a \neq b; \\ q^{-\frac{1}{2}}\mathcal{J}_{a,b}, & a = b. \end{cases} \tag{85}$$

where $\beta_{a,b}^{c,d}$ are unknown constants.

For the fundamental representation of D_n Lie algebra, $m = 2n-1$, $\gamma = q^{-\frac{1}{2}}$. From Lemma 4.5, for a, b satisfying $o(a) + o(b) = 2n-1$,

$$\mathcal{M}_{ab}\mathcal{M}^{ab} = \begin{cases} xq^{n-o(a)}, & n \leq o(a) \leq 2n-1; \\ x^{-1}q^{n-o(a)-1}, & 0 \leq o(a) < n, \end{cases} \tag{86}$$

where

$$x = \mathcal{M}_{n-1'n-1}\mathcal{M}^{n-1'n-1}.$$

$$C = xq^{-\frac{2n-1}{2}} \text{ and } \beta_{ab}^{ab} = (q^{-\frac{1}{2}} - q^{\frac{1}{2}}) + (q^{\frac{1}{2}} - x^{-2}q^{-\frac{1}{2}})q^{n-o(a)-1}.$$

The condition (42)

$$|\xi|^2 = 1 - \frac{c - c^{-1}}{a - a^{-1}}$$

in Lemma 4.9 now is equivalent to

$$\sum_{a,b}(\mathcal{M}_{ab}\mathcal{M}^{ab}) = 1 - \frac{c - c^{-1}}{a - a^{-1}}, \tag{87}$$

where

$$c = x^{-1}q^{\frac{2n-1}{2}}, a = q^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

It follows that

$$x = 1 \text{ or } x = -q^{-1}.$$

From (83), $x = \mathcal{M}_{n-1'n-1}\mathcal{M}^{n-1'n-1} = 1$.

Lemma 4.26. *For* $\mathbb{q} = 2n-2$*, if we choose* $\mathcal{J}_{2n-2,0}$*,* $\mathcal{J}_{2n-3,1}$*, ...,* $\mathcal{J}_{n,n-2}$*,* $\mathcal{J}_{n-1,n-1'}$*,* $\mathcal{J}_{n-1',n-1}$*,* $\mathcal{J}_{n-2,n}$ *...,* $\mathcal{J}_{0,n-2}$*,* $\mathcal{J}_{n-1,n-1}$*,* $\mathcal{J}_{n-1,n-1'}$ *as a set of basis,* $\mathcal{B}$ *is a diagonal block matrix* $\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{2n\times 2n} & 0 \\ 0 & q^{-\frac{1}{2}} I_{2\times 2} \end{pmatrix}$ *on* V_{2n-2}*,*

$$\mathrm{Tr}\tilde{\mathcal{B}} = (n-1)q^{-\frac{1}{2}} - nq^{\frac{1}{2}} + q^{\frac{2n-1}{2}} \tag{88}$$

and

$$\det\tilde{\mathcal{B}} = (-1)^n q^n \tag{89}$$

If we denote

$$\zeta^t = (\ \zeta^0 \quad \zeta^1 \quad \ldots \quad \zeta^{n-1} \quad \zeta^{n-1'} \quad \ldots \quad \zeta^{2n-2}\ ),$$

$$\zeta^a = \mathcal{M}^{ab}, o(a) + o(b) = 2n-1,$$

then

$$\mathcal{B}\zeta = C^{-1}\zeta \text{ on } V_{2n-1}, \tag{90}$$

i.e. ζ is an eigenvector of $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ on V_{2n-2} with respect to the eigenvalue $C^{-1} = q^{\frac{2n-1}{2}}$. From Lemma 4.7,

Theorem 4.27. *all* $2n$ *eigenvalues for* $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ *on* V_{2n-2} *are*

$$e_i = \begin{cases} q^{-\frac{1}{2}}, & 1 \le i \le n-1; \\ -q^{\frac{1}{2}}, & n \le i \le 2n-1; \\ q^{\frac{2n-1}{2}}, & i = 2n. \end{cases}$$

Let $y = q^{\frac{1}{2}}$, $a = q^{-\frac{1}{2}}$, $c = q^{\frac{2n-1}{2}}$, $l = 2n$, $m = n-1$ and $S = \tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ on V_{2n-2}, then from Lemma 4.9,

$$\tilde{\mathcal{B}}^{bd}_{ac} - (\tilde{\mathcal{B}}^{-1})^{bd}_{ac} = (q^{\frac{1}{2}} - q^{-\frac{1}{2}})(\mathcal{M}_{ac}\mathcal{M}^{bd} - \delta^b_a\delta^d_c) \text{ on } V_{2n-2}, \tag{91}$$

$$o(a) + o(c) = o(b) + o(d) = 2n-1.$$

Theorem 4.28. *The braiding matrix* $\mathcal{B}$ *and fusion matrix* $\mathcal{M}$ *corresponding to the fundamental representation of* D_n *Lie algebra satisfy the following conditions:*

(1) $\mathcal{B}^{bd}_{ac} - (\mathcal{B}^{-1})^{bd}_{ac} = (q^{\frac{1}{2}} - q^{-\frac{1}{2}})(\mathcal{M}_{ac}\mathcal{M}^{bd} - \delta^b_a\delta^d_c)$

(2) $\sum_{a,b}(\mathcal{M}_{ab}\mathcal{M}^{ab}) = \frac{q^{n-\frac{1}{2}} - q^{-n+\frac{1}{2}}}{q^{\frac{1}{2}} - q^{-\frac{1}{2}}} + 1$

(3) $\sum_{c,d} \mathcal{B}_{ab}^{cd} \mathcal{M}_{cd} = q^{n-\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{M}_{ab}$

(4) $\sum_{c,d} (\mathcal{B}^{-1})_{ab}^{cd} \mathcal{M}_{cd} = q^{-n+\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{M}_{ab}$.

Under the normalization condition:

$$\mathcal{M}_{ab} \cdot \mathcal{M}_{ba} = 1, \quad a = 0, 1, 2, ..., n-1, n-1', ...2n-2, o(a) + o(b) = 2n-1, \tag{92}$$

or equivalently,

$$\mathcal{M}_{ab} = \mathcal{M}^{ab}, \quad a, b = 0, 1, 2, ..., n-1, n-1', ...2n-2, \tag{93}$$

we choose

$$\mathcal{M} \begin{pmatrix} v_{2n-2} \\ v_{2n-3} \\ ... \\ v_{n-1'} \\ v_{n-1} \\ ... \\ v_1 \\ v_0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} & & & & & & & q^{\frac{-n+1}{2}} \\ & & & & & & q^{\frac{-n+2}{2}} & \\ & & & & 0 & ... & & \\ & & & & & 1 & & \\ & & & & 1 & & & \\ & & & & ... & 0 & & \\ & & & q^{\frac{n-2}{2}} & & & & \\ & & q^{\frac{n-1}{2}} & & & & & \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} v_{2n-2} \\ v_{2n-3} \\ ... \\ v_{n-1'} \\ v_{n-1} \\ ... \\ v_1 \\ v_0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{94}$$

It should be noticed that Theorem 4.28 is independent of normalization.

Now we can use the formula (91) to determine the unknown elements β_{ac}^{db} in the braiding matrix $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ on V_{2n-2}.

Theorem 4.29. *For any $a = 0, 1, 2, ...2n-3$, $o(a) < o(b)$ and $o(a)+o(c) = o(b)+o(d) = 2n-1$,*

(95)

$$\beta_{ac}^{db} = \begin{cases} (q^{\frac{1}{2}} - q^{-\frac{1}{2}}) q^{-\frac{1}{2}(o(a)-o(b))}, & (o(a) - n + \frac{1}{2})(o(b) - n + \frac{1}{2}) > 0; \\ (q^{\frac{1}{2}} - q^{-\frac{1}{2}}) q^{-\frac{1}{2}(o(a)-o(b)+1)} + \delta_{o(a)}^{2n-1-o(b)} (q^{-\frac{1}{2}} - q^{\frac{1}{2}}), & (o(a) - n + \frac{1}{2})(o(b) - n + \frac{1}{2}) < 0. \end{cases}$$

Thus, the braiding matrix $\mathcal{B}$ is derived and it is also independent of the normalization condition (92). One can check that when $e^h = q^{-\frac{1}{2}}$, this result is the same as that in section 7.3C of [9], therefore, braiding matrices we derived satisfy Yang-Baxter equation.



FIGURE 15. L_+, L_-, L_∞ and L_0

FIGURE 16. W_+ and W_-

5. KNOT INVARIANTS FOR B_n, C_n AND D_n

Now, we make a brief introduction to Kauffman polynomial. Details can be found in [2].

Definition 5.1. The equivalence relation on link diagrams generated by Reidemeister move II and III is called regular isotopy; The equivalence relation generated by Reidemeister move I, II and III is called ambient isotopy.

Definition 5.2. Let K be any oriented link diagram. Writhe of K (twist number of K) is defined by the formula $\omega(K) = \sum_{p\in C(K)} \varepsilon(p)$, where $C(K)$ denotes the set of crossings in the diagram of K and $\varepsilon(p)$ denotes the sign of the crossing p.

Kauffman polynomial is a regular isotopy invariant of unoriented links defined as follows.

Definition 5.3. Kauffman polynomial is a 2-variable polynomial $D_K = D_K(z, \alpha)$ of unoriented links K satisfying:

(1) If K and K' are regular isotopy $K \approx K'$, then $D_K = D_{K'}$;

(2) $D_{L_+} - D_{L_-} = z(D_{L_\infty} - D_{L_0})$;



FIGURE 17. Reidemeister move II

(3) $D_{\bigcirc} = ((\alpha - \alpha^{-1})/z) + 1$; $D_{W_+} = \alpha D_{\mathcal{M}^{-1}}$; $D_{W_-} = \alpha^{-1} D_{\mathcal{M}^{-1}}$,

where L_+, L_-, L_∞ and L_0 are shown in Figure 15, and W_+ and W_- are shown in Figure 16.

Since the operator $\mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{B}^{-1}$ are inverse to each other, i.e.

$$\sum_{e,f} (\mathcal{B}^{-1})^{ef}_{ac} \mathcal{B}^{bd}_{ef} = \delta^b_a \delta^d_c = \sum_{e,f} \mathcal{B}^{ef}_{ac} (\mathcal{B}^{-1})^{bd}_{ef}, \tag{96}$$

$< K >$ is clearly invariant under Reidemeister move II (see Figure 17).

Moreover, braiding matrices we derived satisfy Yang-Baxter equation. Thus, $< K >$ is a regular isotopy invariant. $< \bigcirc >$, $< W_+ >$ and $< W_- >$ can be decomposed as

$$< \bigcirc >= \sum_{a,b} (\mathcal{M}_{ab} \mathcal{M}^{ab}), \tag{97}$$

$$< W_+ >= \sum_{c,d} \mathcal{B}^{cd}_{ab} \mathcal{M}_{cd} \tag{98}$$

and

$$< W_- >= \sum_{c,d} (\mathcal{B}^{-1})^{cd}_{ab} \mathcal{M}_{cd}, \tag{99}$$

therefore, Theorem 4.11, 4.19 and 4.28 show that the knot invariants associated to the simple Lie algebras of type B_n, C_n and D_n satisfy the second and third condition in the definition of Kauffman polynomial with $\alpha = q^n, z = q^{\frac{1}{2}} - q^{-\frac{1}{2}}$; $\alpha = -q^{\frac{2n+1}{4}}, z = q^{\frac{1}{4}} - q^{-\frac{1}{4}}$ and $\alpha = q^{\frac{2n-1}{2}}, z = q^{\frac{1}{2}} - q^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ respectively.

Thus, for the fundamental representation of simple Lie algebras B_n, C_n and D_n, the knot invariants $< K >$, defined as vacuum expectations of a quantum mechanics system involving the braiding and fusion operators, are Kauffman polynomial.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Zhi Chen, A. Losev, E. Witten, Ke Wu and Wenli Yang for very helpful discussions. Comments and discussions with Xuexing Lu, Kaiwen Sun, Xiaoyu Jia and Yongjie Wang are also of great help. This work is partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of Grant number 11031005, School of Mathematical Sciences at Capital Normal University and the Wu Wen Tsun Key Lab of Mathematics of Chinese Academy of Sciences at USTC.

References

[1] Jones, Vaughan FR. "A POLYNOMIAL INVARIANT FOR KNOTS VIA VON NEUMANN ALGEBRAS1." (1985).

[2] Kauffman, Louis H. Knots and physics. Vol. 1. World Scientific Publishing Company, 1991.

[3] Witten, Edward. "Quantum field theory and the Jones polynomial." Communications in Mathematical Physics 121.3 (1989): 351-399.

[4] Gaiotto, Davide, and Edward Witten. "Knot invariants from four-dimensional gauge theory." arXiv preprint arXiv:1106.4789 (2011).

[5] Feigin, Boris, Edward Frenkel, and Nikolai Reshetikhin. "Gaudin model, Bethe ansatz and critical level." Communications in Mathematical Physics 166.1 (1994): 27-62.

[6] Awata, Hidetoshi, Akihiro Tsuchiya, and Yasuhiko Yamada. "Integral formulas for the WZNW correlation functions." Nuclear Physics B 365.3 (1991): 680-696.

[7] Witten, Edward. "Analytic continuation of Chern-Simons theory." Chern-Simons Gauge Theory 20 (2010): 347-446.

[8] Frenkel, Edward. "Free field realizations in representation theory and conformal field theory." Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians. Birkhäuser Basel, 1995.

[9] Chari, Vyjayanthi. "A guide to quantum groups." Cambridge university press, 1995.

[10] Hu, Sen and Liu, Peng. "HOMFLY polynomial from a generalized Yang-Yang function" arXiv preprint arXiv:1312.1769 (2013).